Short Note

A New Guadalupe Fur Seal Colony in the Gulf of California? Ecological and Conservation Implications

Fernando R. Elorriaga-Verplancken,¹ Aurora Paniagua-Mendoza,² Claudia J. Hernández-Camacho,¹ Marc A. Webber,³ Romyna Cruz-Vallejo,¹ Corey R. Nevels,⁴ and Irma González-López⁵

'Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Centro Interdisciplinario de Ciencias Marinas, Avenida Instituto Politécnico Nacional s/n, Colonia Playa Palo de Santa Rita, Apartado Postal 592, CP 23096, La Paz, Baja California Sur, México E-mail: felorriaga@ipn.mx

²Megafauna Marina, Atención, Rescate, Ecología y Sociedad (MMARES, A.C.), Polaris 271,
Fracc. Antares, CP 23085, La Paz, Baja California Sur, México

³The Marine Mammal Center, 2000 Bunker Road, Sausalito, CA 94965, USA

⁴Fun Baja, Bahía Pichilingue, Km 7.5, Lomas de Palmira, CP 23010, La Paz, Baja California Sur, México

⁵Comisión Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas (CONANP; Parque Nacional Zona Marina
del Archipiélago Espíritu Santo), La Paz, Baja California Sur, México

The Guadalupe fur seal (Arctocephalus philippii townsendi; GFS) was hunted to near extinction by the late 19th century (Hubbs, 1956). Before exploitation, its population was estimated at around 200,000 individuals (Hubbs, 1979). It was widely distributed on islands off the coast of Baja California in Mexico and southern California in the United States (Peterson et al., 1968). Archaeological research indicates its range formerly included coastal areas from California and Washington (Etnier, 2002; Rick et al., 2009) to as far south as Socorro Island (Revillagigedo Archipelago), based on the logbook of the sealing ship, Dromio, from 1808 (Hamilton, 1951). In 1954, a few GFSs were found on Guadalupe Island, Baja California, Mexico (Hubbs, 1956), where recovering numbers have since been observed (Peterson et al., 1968). The GFS is currently protected as an Endangered species under Mexican law (NOM-O59-SEMARNAT-2010) and a Threatened species under the U.S. Endangered Species Act; however, it is considered to be of Least Concern by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (Aurioles-Gamboa, 2015). Conservation efforts around the main breeding colony at Guadalupe Island have contributed to the recovery of the species, with a current population of around 40,000 individuals (García-Aguilar et al., 2018; Hernández-Camacho & Trites, 2018).

As part of this recovery process, the recolonization of the San Benito Archipelago (SBA), Baja California, Mexico, by 256 GFSs was reported in 1997 (Maravilla-Chávez & Lowry, 1999). The term recolonization is used to describe the SBA colony

because GFSs inhabited this archipelago in the past, but they were eradicated in the 19th century. This colony is almost entirely composed of sexually immature individuals (juveniles and male subadults) that migrate from Guadalupe Island mainly during the summer. For this reason, the low number of births at SBA is insignificant (Aurioles-Gamboa et al., 2010; Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016b; Figure 1). The SBA colony grew consistently until at least 2014 when Elorriaga-Verplancken et al. (2016b) recorded a total of 3,710 GFSs followed by a marked decline (-60%) during the 2015-2016 El Niño warm water event.

GFSs undertake feeding trips up to 444 ± 151 km to their foraging grounds (Gallo-Reynoso et al., 2008), north into the California Current System and south of Guadalupe Island (Amador-Capitanachi, 2018; Norris & Elorriaga-Verplancken, 2019). Some GFSs have been recorded in the Gulf of Ulloa off the Baja California Peninsula (Aurioles-Gamboa et al., 2017) and the Mexican Central Pacific (Ortega-Ortiz et al., 2019), and both areas have been identified as likely GFS foraging grounds by those authors. Before this study, there were a few published records of extralimital individual GFSs in La Paz Bay or its surroundings in the southwest Gulf of California (Figure 1), including the sighting of six GFSs from 1985 to 2016 on the islet known as Los Islotes, which is the only California sea lion (Zalophus californianus; CSL) breeding colony in the southwest Gulf of California (Hernández-Camacho et al., 2008). Additionally, two GFSs have been found stranded on Espíritu Santo Archipelago




Figure 1. Map showing Las Ánimas Islet east of San José Island and north of La Paz Bay, southwest Gulf of California, Mexico, relative to the location of Guadalupe Island and the San Benito Archipelago. The black line along the southern portion of Las Ánimas indicates the main presence of Guadalupe fur seals (GFSs) on this islet, based on all records.

Table 1. Guadalupe fur seals (GFSs) sighted in the southwest Gulf of California from 1985 to 2020. For Las Ánimas Islet sightings, see Table 2. M = Male, F = Female, and ND = No data available.

| Date | Age class | Sex | Number of individuals | | Co/author or source |
|------------------|---------------|-----|-----------------------|---|---|
| 20 July 1985 | Subadult | M | 1 | Los Islotes (24° 35' N, 110° 23' W) | Aurioles-Gamboa & Hernández-Camacho, 1999 |
| 26 June 1986 | Subadult | M | 1 | Los Islotes | Aurioles-Gamboa & Hernández-Camacho, 1999 |
| 16 May 1997 | Subadult | M | 1 | Los Islotes | Aurioles-Gamboa & Hernández-Camacho, 1999 |
| 25 March 2015 | Juvenile | M | 1 | Espíritu Santo Archipelago (24° 31' 50.8" N, 110° 22' 08.1" W) | Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016a; live stranding |
| 2 June 2015 | Subadult male | M | 1 | Los Islotes | Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016a |
| 11 November 2015 | Juvenile | M | 1 | La Concha Beach, La Paz Bay (24° 12' 07.7" N, 110° 17' 58.4" W) | Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016a; dead stranding |
| 17 February 2016 | Juvenile | ND | 2 | At sea (24° 05' 09" N, 109° 36' 48" W) | MAW |
| 18 February 2016 | Juvenile | ND | 2 | Los Islotes | Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016a |
| 30 May 2016 | Juvenile | ND | 1 | Los Islotes | FRE-V |
| 15 March 2017 | Juvenile | ND | 3 | At sea (23° 00' 06" N, 109° 33' 12" W) | MAW |
| 3 August 2017 | Juvenile | ND | 1 | Los Islotes | FRE-V |
| 27 April 2018 | Juvenile | M | 1 | Los Islotes | FRE-V |
| 17 August 2018 | Juvenile | ND | 1 | Los Islotes | FRE-V |
| 27 May 2019 | Juvenile | ND | 1 | Los Islotes | FRE-V |
| 27 February 2020 | Juvenile | F | 1 | At sea (22° 49' 02" N, 109° 53' 48" W) | MAW |

and La Concha Beach in La Paz Bay (Table 1). Some of them were emaciated, probably due to oceanographic warm anomalies in the eastern North Pacific that may have impacted their foraging habits and precipitated some of these dispersal events (Aurioles-Gamboa & Hernández-Camacho, 1999; Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016a). Herein, we report additional vagrant GFS sightings for the southwest Gulf of California: five sightings on Los Islotes (Figure 2A) from 2016 to 2019 and three sightings of six individuals at sea (Figure 2B) from 2016 to 2020 (Table 1).

On 5 March 2019, an aggregation of fewer than 12 GFSs was first discovered on an islet known as Las Ánimas, located 12 km east of San José Island in the southwest Gulf of California, Mexico (25° 06' 42.4" N, 110° 30' 38.3" W; Figure 1; M. Carwardine, pers. comm., 28 August 2020; Table 2). Las Ánimas is a small $(480 \times 55 \text{ m})$ volcanic formation featuring rocky areas, irregular rocky beaches, cliffs, ledges, and different size boulders, which is typical GFS terrestrial habitat (García-Aguilar et al., 2013; Arias-Del-Razo et al., 2016). Observations were made from boats using binoculars and photo-documented at variable distances between 10 and 70 m from the islet. GFSs were positively identified based on their long and pointed snout, abundant dark gray color, orientation of pinnae, active grooming, inwater postures, and characteristic vocalizations (Jefferson et al., 2015).

As part of an ongoing CSL abundance survey in and around La Paz Bay, a significant effort was made in 2019 by two of the co-authors (CJH-C and FRE-V) that included the various islands and islets of the region. Los Islotes and another haulout islet site called San Rafaelito were surveyed on 15 April, 27 May, 19 June, 17 July, 22 July, 29 July, 3 August, 16-21 August, 8 October, 25-29 October, 13 November, and 26-27 November 2019. As a result, only one apparently healthy GFS juvenile

was recorded on Los Islotes on 27 May 2019. No GFSs were recorded when Las Ánimas was surveyed on 27 October 2019, which was the only visit to this site during the 2019 systematic surveys. The low number in March 2019 and their absence



Figure 2. (A) One of the juvenile GFSs sighted on Los Islotes (27 April 2018), and (B) one of the juveniles recorded at sea (27 February 2020) in the southwest Gulf of California (*Photo credits:* Fernando R. Elorriaga-Verplancken [A] and Marc A. Webber [B])

Table 2. GFSs and California sea lions (CSLs) at Las Ánimas Islet in the southwest Gulf of California, Mexico. Abbreviations of observers correspond to the co-authors of this study; ND = No data available.

| Date | Total GFSs | GFSs hauled out | GFSs in the water | CSLs | Observer |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------|---------------|
| 5 March 2019 | < 12 | ND | ND | ND | M. Carwardine |
| 27 October 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ND | СЈН-С |
| 18 February 2020 | Present but no count | ND | ND | ND | M. Carwardine |
| 28 February 2020 | 73 | 53 | 20 | 26 | MAW |
| 3 March 2020 | 57 | ND | ND | ND | M. Carwardine |
| 8 August 2020 | > 100 | ND | ND | ND | CRN |
| 15 August 2020 | 119 | 95 | 24 | 69 | FRE-V, AP-M |

in October 2019 may provide evidence of an early stage of colony establishment.

Beginning 2020, an incipient GFS non-reproductive haulout was established on Las Ánimas Islet. This was observed on 18 February 2020, but a precise count was not performed (M. Carwardine,

pers. comm., 28 August 2020). Days later, on 28 February 2020, a total of 73 juvenile GFSs were observed and counted during circumnavigation: 53 hauled out (Figure 3A) and 20 resting and thermoregulating in the water (Figure 3B). On 3 March 2020, a total of 57 juvenile GFSs were




Figure 3. GFSs hauled out (A) and thermoregulating and resting in the water (B) around Las Ánimas Islet in February 2020 (Photo credit: Marc A. Webber)

counted (M. Carwardine, pers. comm., 28 August 2020). Later in the year, on 8 August 2020, a minimum estimate of 100 juveniles was reported. On 15 August 2020, a total of 119 juveniles

were recorded all around the islet but especially in the southern portion: 95 of them hauled out (Figure 4A) and 24 in the water (Figure 4B). This islet also was being used as a haulout by




Figure 4. GFSs hauled out (A) and thermoregulating and resting in the water (B) around Las Ánimas Islet in August 2020 (*Photo credits*: Aurora Paniagua-Mendoza [A] and Fernando R. Elorriaga-Verplancken [B])

approximately 26 CSLs during February and approximately 69 CSLs during August (Table 2).

The GFSs observed at Las Ánimas were juveniles, which is the dominant age class at the SBA recolonization site. However, an important difference is that the non-resident aggregation at SBA increases significantly from winter to summer (e.g., from 50 to 3,710 individuals from February to July 2014; Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016b). Remarkably, the settlement at Las Ánimas has remained stable at a relatively consistent large size population, perhaps with a small increase between winter and summer 2020.

The first four months of 2020 had slightly high (0.5 to 0.6) El Niño Index values for Region 3.4 (5°N-5°S, 120°-170°W; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration [NOAA], 2020). These environmental conditions may have influenced the foraging effort of these GFSs, forcing them into this region in the Gulf of California (Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016a). However, we do not believe that oceanographic anomalies are related to the GFS presence at Las Ánimas because vagrant otariid records related to anomalous environmental conditions usually involve sightings of lone individuals (e.g., Lander et al., 2000; Ceballos et al., 2010; Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016a; Páez-Rosas et al., 2018), not large groups. Additionally, the GFSs at Las Animas did not show poor body condition; rather, they appeared to be healthy, unlike the five GFSs sighted in La Paz Bay during the 2015-2016 El Niño (Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016a). We therefore conclude that this is an incipient colony that began at some point in 2019 or possibly at the beginning of 2020.

From 1984 to 2013, the total GFS population had a growth rate of 5.9% (range 4.1 to 7.7%; García-Aguilar et al., 2018); and, as a result, this Guadalupe Island colony is considered to be of Least Concern based on International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) criteria (Hernández-Camacho & Trites, 2018). The new GFS colony at Las Ánimas could be related to this successful recovery as also suggested by the presence of individuals in waters off the Mexican Central Pacific during recent years (2010 to 2015; Ortega-Ortiz et al., 2019). Dispersion is an important component of colonization or recolonization for a pinniped species. This gradual process, which involves a first establishment by young individuals or first-time breeders, has been argued to take place when the density of breeding individuals at a given site reaches a threshold, causing a shortage of space that triggers emigration of these individuals to other suitable places (Roux, 1987; Bradshaw et al., 2000). This has been observed in other populations, including Southern sea lions (*Otaria flavescens*; Grandi et al., 2008), New Zealand fur seals (*Arctocephalus forsteri*; Bradshaw et al., 2000), and gray seals (*Halichoerus grypus*; Reijnders et al., 1995), and also for the GFSs at the SBA (Aurioles-Gamboa et al., 2010). Additionally, these new places could also be related to the presence of alternative foraging grounds, as evidenced by Amador-Capitanachi et al. (2017), when they found GFS foraging differences between the colonies of Guadalupe Island and the SBA. Our findings from Las Ánimas highlight the value of these areas for the dispersal of juveniles and the potential creation of new colonies (Grandi et al., 2008) as well as for the use of new foraging grounds.

However, the GFS recovery process may include problematic scenarios that must be carefully analyzed such as the recent decline of the SBA colony (Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016b), which is considered Endangered to Critically Endangered (only that colony) based on IUCN criteria (Hernández-Camacho & Trites, 2018), as well as the negative impact of recent warming anomalies on GFS foraging habits and neonate body mass (Amador-Capitanachi et al., 2020; Gálvez et al., 2020). Also, there is an ongoing unusual mortality event in the U.S. during which around 500 mostly emaciated recently weaned GFS pups stranded along the coast of California from 2015 to 2020, in addition to around 140 individuals along the coasts of Washington and Oregon in 2019-2020 (NOAA Fisheries, 2020).

These scenarios must be assessed independently and considered also in the context of their simultaneous occurrence. The incipient Las Ánimas GFS colony must be surveyed over time to assess its permanence progress and seasonal fluctuations, and to fully understand its role in GFS recovery. As part of that recovery, the recolonization of former sites like the SBA may take place, but so can the colonization of new sites like Las Ánimas in the Gulf of California where there are no historical records of GFS colonies. Thus, both continued monitoring and expanded investigation elsewhere are warranted.

The Gulf of California is a unique ecological setting that brings together a high diversity of marine mammals, including the only current resident pinniped, the CSL (Vidal et al., 1993). These two species (GFSs and CSLs) have different foraging requirements in the SBA where they coexist (Pablo-Rodríguez et al., 2015; Elorriaga-Verplancken et al., 2016b), but their foraging ecology should now be assessed in the southwest Gulf of California, where both otariids are apparently becoming sympatric, as well. A comparative dietary analysis should also be made between the GFSs at Las Ánimas and those on Guadalupe

Island and the SBA; this analysis may reveal insights into ecological differences or similarities among sites and the formation of GFS colonies.

Our findings and their implications are important not only in terms of research regarding the recovery of a marine mammal species hunted to near extinction, but also for their potential impacts to conservation and economics. Las Ánimas is part of a Natural Protected Area managed by the Comisión Nacional de Areas Naturales Protegidas (CONANP; National Commission for Natural Protected Areas), which includes many islands and islets in the Gulf of California, including the Espíritu Santo National Park and UNESCO World Heritage Site located 60 km south of Las Ánimas. The presence of GFSs (protected as endangered under Mexican law and a conservation symbol of the Guadalupe Island Biosphere Reserve) in this region enhances the ecological value of the southwest Gulf of California. This is relevant because of a current increase in tourist activity around Las Animas that, if unregulated or unmanaged, may pose a threat to the development of this incipient GFS colony. This provides a unique opportunity to enact conservation and management programs that protect both this endangered species and the local economy.

Acknowledgments

We thank Instituto Politécnico Nacional (IPN) for financial support through Projects SIP 20201000, SIP20195860, SIP20195945, SIP20200815, and PROREST 2019. We also thank the Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales through the Dirección General de Vida Silvestre en México for granting us the research permit, SGPA/ DGVS/11794/19, as well as Comisión Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas (CONANP) APFF Islas del Golfo de California. We also thank Red de Observadores Ciudadanos, A.C. for their support in the field, and Fun Baja, Searcher Natural History Tours, Spirit of Adventure Fishing, and especially marine biologist Mark Carwardine for their valuable observations regarding the fur seals at Las Animas Islet. FRE-V thanks IPN for support received through the Contracting Excellence Program and fellowship EDI. Finally, we thank Kristin Sullivan and William Keener for editing the English version of the manuscript.

Literature Cited

- Amador-Capitanachi, M. J. (2018). Variabilidad en el nicho isotópico (2013-2016) y análisis del desplazamiento mediante telemetría en el lobo fino de Guadalupe (Arctocephalus philippii townsendi) [Variability in the isotopic niche (2013-2016) and analysis of the movements by telemetry in the Guadalupe fur seal (Arctocephalus philippii townsendi)] (Master's thesis). Centro Interdisciplinario de Ciencias Marinas del Instituto Politécnico Nacional (CICIMAR-IPN), La Paz, Mexico.
- Amador-Capitanachi, M. J., Moreno-Sánchez, X. G., Juárez-Ruiz, A., Ferretto, G., Elorriaga-Verplancken, F. R. (2017). Trophic variation between the two existing Guadalupe fur seal colonies on Guadalupe Island and the San Benito Archipelago, México. *Aquatic Mammals*, 43(1), 14-25. https://doi.org/10.1578/AM.43.1.2017.14
- Amador-Capitanachi, M. J., Moreno-Sánchez, X. G., Ventura-Domínguez, P. D., Juárez-Ruiz, J., González, E., Gálvez, C., Norris, T., & Elorriaga-Verplancken, F. R. (2020). Ecological implications of unprecedented warm water anomalies on interannual prey preferences and foraging areas of Guadalupe fur seals. *Marine Mammal Science*, 36(4), 1254-1270. https://doi. org/10.1111/mms.12718
- Arias-Del-Razo, A., Heckel, G., Schramm, Y., & Pardo, M. A. (2016). Terrestrial habitat preferences and segregation of four pinniped species on the islands off the western coast of the Baja California Peninsula, Mexico. *Marine Mammal Science*, 32(4), 1416-1432. https://doi. org/10.1111/mms.12339
- Aurioles-Gamboa, D. (2015). Arctocephalus townsendi. In International Union for Conservation of Nature (Ed.), The IUCN red list of threatened species 2015: e.T2061A45224420. https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/2061/45224420
- Aurioles-Gamboa, D., & Hernández-Camacho, C. J. (1999). Notes on the southernmost records of the Guadalupe fur seal (Arctocephalus townsendi) in Mexico. Marine Mammal Science, 15(2), 581-583. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.1999.tb00825.x
- Aurioles-Gamboa, D., Elorriaga-Verplancken, F. R., & Hernández-Camacho, C. J. (2010). The current population status of Guadalupe fur seal (*Arctocephalus townsendi*) on the San Benito Islands, Mexico. *Marine Mammal Science*, 26(2), 402-408. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2009.00350.x
- Aurioles-Gamboa, D., Pablo-Rodríguez, N., Rosas-Hernández, P., & Hernández-Camacho, C. J. (2017). Guadalupe fur seal population expansion and its post-breeding male migration to the Gulf of Ulloa, Mexico: Bio-ecology, threats and conservation. In J. J. Alava (Ed.), *Tropical pinnipeds* (pp. 91-119). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315151588-7
- Bradshaw, C. J. A., Lalas, C., & Thompson, C. M. (2000). Clustering of colonies in an expanding population of New Zealand fur seals (Arctocephalus

- forsteri). Journal of Zoology, 250, 105-112. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.2000.tb00581.x
- Ceballos, G., Pompa, S., Espinoza, E., & García, A. (2010).
 Extralimital distribution of Galapagos (*Zalophus wollebaeki*) and northern (*Eumetopias jubatus*) sea lions in Mexico. *Aquatic Mammals*, 36(2), 188-194. https://doi.org/10.1578/AM.36.2.2010.188
- Elorriaga-Verplancken, F. R., Rosales-Nanduca, H., & Robles, R. (2016a). Unprecedented records of Guadalupe fur seals in La Paz Bay, Southern Gulf of California, Mexico, as a possible result of warm conditions in the northeastern Pacific. Aquatic Mammals, 42(3), 261-267. https://doi.org/10.1578/AM.42.3.2016.261
- Elorriaga-Verplancken, F. R., Sierra-Rodríguez, G. E., Rosales-Nanduca, H., Acevedo-Whitehouse, K., & Sandoval-Sierra, J. (2016b). Impact of the 2015 El Niño-Southern Oscillation on the abundance and foraging habits of Guadalupe fur seals and California sea lions from the San Benito Archipelago, México. *PLOS ONE*, 11(5), e0155034. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155034
- Etnier, M. A. (2002). Occurrence of Guadalupe fur seals (Arctocephalus townsendi) on the Washington coast over the past 500 years. Marine Mammal Science, 18(2), 551-556. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2002.tb01056.x
- Gallo-Reynoso, J. P., Figueroa-Carranza, A. L., & Le Boeuf, B. J. (2008). Foraging behavior of lactating Guadalupe fur seal females. In C. Lorenzo, E. Espinoza, & J. Ortega (Eds.), Avances en el estudio de los mamíferos de México [Advances in the study of mammals in Mexico] (Vol. II, pp. 505-614). Asociación Mexicana de Mastozoología A.C.
- Gálvez, C., Pardo, M. A., & Elorriaga-Verplancken, F. R. (2020). Impacts of extreme ocean warming on the early development of a marine top predator: The Guadalupe fur seal. *Progress in Oceanography*, 180, 102220. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pocean.2019.102220
- García-Aguilar, M. C., Gutiérrez-García, D., & de la Cueva, H. (2013). Terrestrial habitat segregation between the Guadalupe fur seal (*Arctocephalus townsendi*) and the California sea lion (*Zalophus californianus*) at Islas San Benito, Mexico. *Aquatic Mammals*, 39(1), 54-60. https://doi.org/10.1578/AM.39.1.2013.54
- García-Aguilar, M. C., Elorriaga-Verplancken, F. R., Rosales-Nanduca, H., & Schramm, Y. (2018). Population status of the Guadalupe fur seal (*Arctocephalus townsendi*). *Journal* of Mammalogy, 99(6), 1522-1528. https://doi.org/10.1093/ jmammal/gyy132
- Grandi, M. F., Dans, S. L., & Crespo, E. A. (2008). Social composition and spatial distribution of colonies in an expanding population of South American sea lions. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 89(5), 1218-1228. https://doi. org/10.1644/08-MAMM-A-088.1
- Hamilton, A. (1951). Is the Guadalupe fur seal returning? *Natural History*, 60, 90-96.
- Hernández-Camacho, C. J., & Trites, A. W. (2018). Population viability analysis of Guadalupe fur seals Arctocephalus townsendi. Endangered Species Research, 37, 255-267. https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00925

- Hernández-Camacho, C. J., Aurioles-Gamboa, D., & Gerber, L. (2008). Age-specific birth rates of California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*) in the Gulf of California, Mexico. *Marine Mammal Science*, 24(3), 664-676. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2008.00199.x
- Hubbs, C. L. (1956). Back from oblivion, Guadalupe fur seal still lives. Zoonoz, San Diego Zoological Society, 29(12), 6-9.
- Hubbs, C. L. (1979). Guadalupe fur seal. In Mammals in the seas: Vol. II. Pinniped species summaries and report on sirenians (FAO Fisheries No. 5, pp. 24-27). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Jefferson, T. A., Webber, M. A., & Pitman, R. L. (2015).
 Marine mammals of the world: A comprehensive guide to their identification (2nd ed.). Elsevier.
- Lander, M. E., Gulland, F. M. D., & DeLong, R. L. (2000). Satellite tracking a rehabilitated Guadalupe fur seal (Arctocephalus townsendi). Aquatic Mammals, 26(2), 137-142.
- Maravilla-Chávez, M. O., & Lowry, M. S. (1999). Incipient breeding colony of Guadalupe fur seals at Isla Benito del Este, Baja California, Mexico. *Marine Mammal Science*, 15(1), 239-241. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.1999.tb00796.x
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). (2020). Cold & warm episodes by season. National Weather Center, Climate Prediction Center. https://origin.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/ensostuff/ONI_v5.php
- NOAA Fisheries. (2020). Guadalupe fur seal unusual mortality event in California. www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/marine-life-distress/2015-2020-guadalupe-fur-seal-unusual-mortality-event-california
- Norris, T. A., & Elorriaga-Verplancken, F. R. (2019). Guadalupe fur seal population census and tagging in support of marine mammal monitoring across multiple navy training areas in the Pacific Ocean, 2018-2019 (Technical Report, Contract No. N62473-18-2-004). Prepared for Commander, Pacific Fleet, Environmental Readiness Division. Submitted to Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) Southwest, Environmental Corp., San Diego, CA.
- Ortega-Ortíz, C. D., Vargas-Bravo, M. H., Olivos-Ortíz, A., Verduzco-Zapata, M. G., & Elorriaga-Verplancken, F. R. (2019). Guadalupe fur seal encounters in the Mexican central Pacific during 2010-2015: Dispersion related to the species recovery? *Aquatic Mammals*, 45(2), 246-254. https://doi.org/10.1578/AM.45.2.2019.246
- Pablo-Rodríguez, N., Aurioles-Gamboa, D., & Montero-Muñoz, J. (2015). Niche overlap and habitat use at distinct temporal scales among the California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*) and Guadalupe fur seals (*Arctocephalus philippii townsendi*). *Marine Mammal Science*, 32(2), 466-489. https://doi.org/10.1111/mms.12274
- Páez-Rosas, D., Valdovinos, L. A., & Elorriaga-Verplancken, F. R. (2018). Northernmost record of the Galapagos fur seal (*Arctocephalus galapagoensis*): A consequence of anomalous warm conditions around the

- Galapagos Archipelago. *Aquatic Mammals*, 43(6), 629-634. https://doi.org/10.1578/AM.43.6.2017.629
- Peterson, R. S., Hubbs, C. L., Gentry, R. L., & DeLong, R. L. (1968). The Guadalupe fur seal: Habitat, behavior, population size, and field identification. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 49(4), 665-675. https://doi.org/10.2307/1378727
- Reijnders, P. J. H., van Dijk, J., & Kuiper, D. (1995). Recolonization of the Dutch Wadden Sea by the grey seal *Halichoerus grypus*. *Biological Conservation*, 71(3), 231-235. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(94)00032-L
- Rick, T. C., DeLong, R. L., Erlandson, J. M., Braje, T. J., Jones, T. L., & Kennett, D. J. (2009). A trans-Holocene archaeological record of Guadalupe fur seals (*Arctocephalus townsendi*) on the California coast. *Marine Mammal Science*, 25(2), 487-502. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2008.00273.x
- Roux, J-P. (1987). Recolonization processes in the subantarctic fur seal, Arctocephalus tropicalis, on Amsterdam Island. In J. P. Croxall & R. L. Gentry (Eds.), Status, biology, and ecology of fur seals (NOAA Technical Report NMFS 51, pp. 189-194). National Marine Fisheries Service.
- Vidal, O., Findley, L. T., & Leatherwood, S. (1993). Annotated checklist of the marine mammals of the Gulf of California. Proceedings of the San Diego Society of Natural History, 28, 1-16.